

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,287	10/09/2003	Krishna Balachandran	29250-001082/US	9239
7590 03/13/2008			EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 8910			LAM, DUNG LE	
Reston, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/681,287	BALACHANDRAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DUNG LAM	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

The Final Action dated 09/10/2007 raised 112, 2nd issues without being necessitated by the amendment and were missed labeled as a Final action. Thus the Finality of the action dated on 9/10/2007 is hereby withdrawn and the action should have been a NonFinal action. Consequently, the advisory dated 2/21/08 in response to the amendment filed on 1/10/08 should have been a Final Action. Therefore, the advisory action dated 2/21/08 is vacated and replaced by the following Final Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The independent claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Independent claims 1 and 10 recite, "(a) if the second frequency does not correspond to a known frequency based on the broadcast-multicast service flow identifier"

Art Unit: 2617

2. The examiner notes that this "if conditional" limitation is mentioned on paragraph [0020] of the specification after the teaching of registering when there's a change of frequency only, not a change of "both frequency and flow".

Independent claims 1 and 10 further recite, "(b) and not triggering generation of a registration message when flow or frequency alone change". The underlined limitation is not found in the specification and applicant also did not cite where the limitation can be found in the spec either.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 1-3, 5, 7-8, 10-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Sinnaraja** (US Pub No. 2003/0114177).

- 2. Regarding *claim* 1, Sinnaraja teaches a method triggering generation of a registration of a mobile station in a network supporting broadcast multicast services, comprising triggering generation of a registration message <u>when</u> flow and frequency <u>both change</u> (At time T4, when the frequency is changed to fy and flow is changed to HSBS channel 302c, a registration occurs, [0068-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]), from a first frequency to a second frequency and first flow to a second flow, that is monitored by the mobile station (para. 64, 66, 70-71, 82), "<u>if the second frequency does not correspond to a known frequency based on the broadcast-multicast service flow identifier, and wherein said registration message is not generated when flow or frequency alone change". (in view of the above 112 first rejection, Sinnaraja still reads on this amended limitation)</u>
- 3. Regarding *claim 5*, Sinnaraja teaches a method of paging a mobile station comprising paging a mobile station on a given frequency in response to a registration message received from the mobile station indicating the mobile station's presence on that given frequency (para. 59, 79 and 81), wherein said registration message is generated based on a change in frequency, from a first frequency to a second frequency, that is monitored by the mobile station at time T4, when the frequency is changed to **fy** and flow is changed to HSBS channel **302c**, a registration occurs, [0068-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]), if the second frequency is not known to the network based on flow

Art Unit: 2617

identifier information previously registered by the mobile station with the network (para. 64, 66, 70-71 and 82).

- 4. Regarding *claim 10*, Sinnaraja teaches a method of determining a frequency of broadcast multicast content being monitored by a mobile station in a wireless network, comprising: generating, at the mobile station, a registration message <u>when</u> flow and frequency <u>both change</u> (At time T4, when the frequency is changed to **fy** and flow is changed to HSBS channel **302c**, a registration occurs, [0068-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]), if the frequency monitored by the mobile station that is not known <u>frequency based on the broadcast-multicast service flow identifier, not generating the registration message <u>when flow or frequency alone change</u> (in view of the 112, first paragraph rejection, Sinnaraja's teaching still reads on the current claim), (para. 62 and 63); and determining an updated frequency being monitored by the mobile station from the generated registration message (para. 64, 66, 70-71 and 82).</u>
- 5. Regarding *claims* 2, 7, and 11, Sinnarajah further teaches the first flow identifier information is a broadcast-multicast service flow ID that the mobile station had previously registered with the network ([0070-0071]).
- 6. Regarding *claims 3 and 8*, Sinnarajah teach that the first or second frequency monitored by the mobile station is a frequency of broadcast multicast content being received by the mobile station ([0044-0045]).

Art Unit: 2617

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims **1-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SWG23 BCMCS ADHOC: "Signaling Support for 1x BCMCS", 28 August 2003, pages 1-53 (simply referred to as "**3G-1x-BCMCS**") in view of **Sinnaraja** (US Pub No. 2003/0114177).
- Regarding *claim 1*, 3G-1x-BCMCS teaches a method of triggering registration of a mobile station in a network supporting broadcast multicast services (p.18, 19, 26, 28, 30) comprising: triggering generation of a registration message in response to a change in frequency, from a first frequency to a second frequency (p. 26, lines 8 10 & lines 35 39, p. 28 lines 16-22 & p. 30 lines. 27 & 31-35) that is monitored by the mobile station (section 2.6.2.9.3, p. 20 lines 4-14), if the second frequency is not known to the network based on a first flow identifier (BCMCS_FLOW_ID) information previously registered by the mobile station with the network (p. 19 lines 21-29; p. 26 lines 8 10 & lines 35 39).

However, **3G-1x-BCMCS** does not explicitly teach that the triggering of generation of a registration is based on a change in frequency and a change in flow, from a first flow to a second flow and from first frequency to second frequency. In an analogous art, **Sinnarajah** teaches the concept of triggering a generation of a registration following a change in frequency and flow, from a first frequency to a second

Art Unit: 2617

frequency and first flow to a second flow. (At time T4, when the frequency is changed to fy and flow is changed to HSBS channel 302c, a registration occurs, [0066-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to trigger a registration message not only based on a change in frequency as taught by 3G-1x-BCMCS's but also based on a change in flow and frequency as taught by Sinnarajah's since this combination makes the registration process more robust and efficient by taking into account of the possibility of a combination of change in flow and frequency.

9. Regarding *claim 5*, 3G-1x-BCMCS teach a method of paging a mobile station in a wireless network comprising: paging a mobile station on a given frequency based on a registration message received from the mobile station indicating the mobile station's presence on that given frequency (p. 20 lines 4-9) and a registration is triggered based on a change from a first to a second frequency, if the second frequency is not known to the network based on flow identifier (BCMCS_FLOW_ID) information previously registered by the mobile station with the network (p. 19 lines 21-29; p. 26 lines 8 – 10 & lines 35 – 39); However, 3G-1x-BCMCS does not explicitly teach that the triggering of generation of a registration is based on a change in frequency and a change in flow, from a first flow to a second flow and from first frequency to second frequency. In an analogous art, Sinnarajah teaches the concept of triggering a generation of a registration following a change in frequency and flow, from a first frequency to a second frequency and first flow to a second flow. (At time T4, when the frequency is changed to

Art Unit: 2617

fy and flow is changed to HSBS channel 302c, a registration occurs, [0066-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to trigger a registration message not only based on a change in frequency as taught by 3G-1x-BCMCS's but also based on a change in flow and frequency as taught by Sinnarajah's since this combination makes the registration process more robust and efficient by taking into account of the possibility of a combination of change in flow and frequency.

Regarding claim 10, 3G-1x-BCMCS teaches a method of determining a 10. frequency of broadcast multicast content being monitored by a mobile station in a wireless network (p. 20 lines 8-9), comprising: generating, at the mobile station, a registration message based on a change in frequency monitored by the mobile station that is not known to the network based on flow identifier information previously registered by the mobile station with the network (p. 20 lines 4-8); and determining an updated frequency being monitored by the mobile station from the generated registration message (p. 20 lines 8-9). However, 3G-1x-BCMCS does not explicitly teach that generation of a registration is based on a change in frequency and a change in flow, from a first flow to a second flow and from first frequency to second frequency. In an analogous art, Sinnarajah teaches the concept of triggering a generation of a registration following a change in frequency and flow, from a first frequency to a second frequency and first flow to a second flow. (At time T4, when the frequency is changed to fy and flow is changed to HSBS channel 302c, a registration occurs, [0068-0071], Fig. 3, [0082]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2617

the time of the invention to trigger a registration message not only based on a change in frequency as taught by **3G-1x-BCMCS's** but also based on a change in flow and frequency as taught by **Sinnarajah**'s since this teaching makes the registration process more robust and efficient by also taking into account of the possibility of a combination of change in flow and frequency.

- 11. Regarding *claims* 2, 7, and 11, 3G-1x-BCMCS and Sinnarajah teach a method wherein 3G-1x-BCMCS further teaches the first flow identifier information is a broadcast-multicast service flow ID (p. 3 line 7) that the mobile station had inherently previously registered with the network (p. 26, lines 8 10 & lines 35 39).
- 12. Regarding *claims 3 and 8*, 3G-1x-BCMCS and Sinnarajah teach that the first or second frequency monitored by the mobile station is a frequency of broadcast multicast content being received by the mobile station (3G-1x-BCMCS, p. 19 lines 21-29).
- Regarding *claim 4*, 9 and 13, 3G-1x-BCMCS and Sinnarajah teach teaches a method, wherein triggering generation of the registration message includes the mobile station: changing from the first frequency to the second frequency (3G-1x-BCMCS, p. 19 lines 21-29); determining whether presence of the mobile station's monitoring of the second frequency is known to the network, based on a broadcast-multicast service flow identifier that the mobile station previously registered with the network (3G-1x-BCMCS, p. 19 lines 5-7); and transmitting a registration message to the network, if the second frequency does not correspond to a known frequency based on the broadcast-multicast service flow identifier (3G-1x-BCMCS, p. 19 lines 21-29).

Art Unit: 2617

14. Regarding *claim* 12, 3G-1x-BCMCS and Sinnarajah teach a method of claim 10, wherein the frequency monitored by the mobile station is contained in the registration message (p. 28 lines 16-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUNG LAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 5:30 pm, Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL